S.B.Cr.Misc. II Bail App. No.4792/2007
(Nikhil & Anr. Vs. State)

Date of order :25th September, 2007.

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR, J.

Mr. M.K. Garg for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Upadhyay, Public Prosecutor.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the Public Prosecutor for the State. Perused the order impugned.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that earlier bail application was dismissed as withdrawn as at that time investigation was at initial stage. He further submits that now similarly situated co-accused have been granted bail and while granting bail this Court was of the view that injuries alleged to have been suffered by the injured were by blunt object and simple in nature.

Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and having considered the oral arguments advanced by both the parties and the fact that similarly situated co-accused have been granted bail, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused petitioners on bail.

Accordingly, this bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that petitioners No. (1) Nikhil s/o Ravinder and (2) Mahendra s/o Dhan Raj be released on bail in FIR No.78/2007 P.S. Sujangarh, District Churu, provided each of them

executes a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.10,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for their appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(H.R.PANWAR), J.

vij